

**From:** Woodraska, Robert J.  
**To:** 'microsoft.atr(a)usdoj.gov'  
**Date:** 1/23/02 7:18am  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

Many smarter people than me have already written comments on the Proposed Final Judgement I am sure, but I thought I would add my two cents. Microsoft has already been proven to introduce deliberate incompatibilities to maintain their hold on different platforms, as evidenced by the 1996 Caldera vs. Microsoft lawsuit. The proposed remedies are far too weak to prevent Microsoft from doing this in the future. In a sense, the Proposed Final Judgement would rely on the willingness of Microsoft to open up to competition, and their track record seems to indicate that this is unlikely. Many definitions, such as API are worded in such a poor manner as to give Microsoft more of an advantage legally than they already enjoy. The Proposed Final Judgement should be overhauled (perhaps rewritten from scratch) or rejected. Thank you for your time.

BoB Woodraska  
IB Systems Administrator  
PCS  
(605) 362-1260